

**Huapai, Kumeu, Riverhead Taupaki  
Questionnaire Response Comparative Summary**

	District	District	Taupaki	Taupaki	Kumeu Sth	Kumeu Sth	Kumeu Nth	Kumeu Nth	Riverh'd	Riverh'd
		380		84		103		121		62
Responses collated	Total "yes"		Total "yes"		Total "yes"		Total "yes"		Total "yes"	
<b>Question Summary - yes responses</b>										
<b>Ownership:</b>										
Own Home	360	94.74%	79	94.05%	96	93.20%	113	93.39%	60	96.77%
Rent Home	18	4.74%	3	3.57%	6	5.83%	7	5.79%	2	3.23%
Own Comm / Industrial	27	7.11%	8	9.52%	10	9.71%	6	4.96%	3	4.84%
Rent Comm / Industrial	11	2.89%	5	5.95%	0	0.00%	3	2.48%	3	4.84%
<b>Time in District:</b>										
0-2 yrs	44	11.58%	3	3.57%	8	7.77%	21	17.36%	12	19.35%
2-5 yrs	49	12.89%	9	10.71%	13	12.62%	19	15.70%	7	11.29%
5-10 yrs	45	11.84%	11	13.10%	9	8.74%	16	13.22%	6	9.68%
10-20 yrs	74	19.47%	16	19.05%	26	25.24%	17	14.05%	14	22.58%
20+ yrs	167	43.95%	46	54.76%	47	45.63%	47	38.84%	23	37.10%
<b>Travel - to work / study:</b>										
Car	246	64.74%	54	64.29%	57	55.34%	81	66.94%	47	75.81%
Bus	3	0.79%	1	1.19%	1	0.97%	1	0.83%	0	0.00%
Train	0	0.00%	0	0.00%	0	0.00%	0	0.00%	0	0.00%
Cycle	3	0.79%	0	0.00%	2	1.94%	0	0.00%	1	1.61%
Carpool	2	0.53%	0	0.00%	2	1.94%	0	0.00%	0	0.00%
Work @ home	56	14.74%	15	17.86%	18	17.48%	14	11.57%	8	12.90%
Retired / Not working	63	16.58%	13	15.48%	18	17.48%	25	20.66%	6	9.68%
Walk	5	1.32%	1	1.19%	4	3.88%	0	0.00%	0	0.00%
Public transport total	99	n/a	18	n/a	42	n/a	34	n/a	4	n/a
<b>5. Changes in the District</b>										
5.1.1 Sufficient infrastructure?	12	3.16%	1	1.19%	5	4.85%	3	2.48%	3	4.84%
5.1.2 Staged growth	359	94.47%	78	92.86%	96	93.20%	115	95.04%	58	93.55%
5.1.3 Funding certainty	358	94.21%	78	92.86%	96	93.20%	114	94.21%	60	96.77%
Growth - very beneficial for the district	91	23.95%	24	28.57%	23	22.33%	21	17.36%	20	32.26%
Growth - some benefit for the district	168	44.21%	31	36.90%	50	48.54%	57	47.11%	28	45.16%
Growth - neutral impact for the district	25	6.58%	4	4.76%	4	3.88%	10	8.26%	7	11.29%
Growth - detrimental for the district	80	21.05%	21	25.00%	17	16.50%	33	27.27%	8	12.90%
Growth - very detrimental for the district	41	10.79%	7	8.33%	11	10.68%	16	13.22%	3	4.84%

**Huapai, Kumeu, Riverhead Taupaki  
Questionnaire Response Comparative Summary**

**6. Types of Housing**

6. Housing - single dwellings	313	82.37%	67	79.76%	79	76.70%	107	88.43%	52	83.87%
Housing - terrace housing	83	21.84%	19	22.62%	27	26.21%	21	17.36%	12	19.35%
Housing - apartment blocks	47	12.37%	13	15.48%	14	13.59%	13	10.74%	4	6.45%
Housing - small units within apt block	48	12.63%	12	14.29%	17	16.50%	12	9.92%	4	6.45%
Housing - minor dwelling on large lot	207	54.47%	47	55.95%	51	49.51%	70	57.85%	34	54.84%
Housing - mix of above	86	22.63%	22	26.19%	24	23.30%	26	21.49%	13	20.97%

**7. Urban Zoning**

7.0.1 Urban Apt per unit block 150 sq m	63	16.58%	17	20.24%	20	19.42%	19	15.70%	5	8.06%
Terrace housing 350 sq m	99	26.05%	24	28.57%	29	28.16%	29	23.97%	13	20.97%
Residential sites 450-500 sq m	184	48.42%	48	57.14%	58	56.31%	50	41.32%	25	40.32%
Large residential sites 500-700 sq m	292	76.84%	62	73.81%	74	71.84%	99	81.82%	50	80.65%
Large lot residential 4,000 sq m	224	58.95%	48	57.14%	53	51.46%	80	66.12%	36	58.06%
7.1.1 Additional SHAs	91	23.95%	29	34.52%	24	23.30%	18	14.88%	16	25.81%
Future SHAs subject to structure plan	324	85.26%	74	88.10%	87	84.47%	109	90.08%	47	75.81%
Should SHAs proceed w/o infrastructure	53	13.95%	11	13.10%	21	20.39%	11	9.09%	9	14.52%

**8. Future Urban Zone**

8. Future Urban Zone - remove completely	137	36.05%	38	45.24%	37	35.92%	36	29.75%	21	33.87%
Replace with 7-10 year proposal	243	63.95%	56	66.67%	63	61.17%	75	61.98%	45	72.58%
Required to show proposed housing density	277	72.89%	65	77.38%	73	70.87%	84	69.42%	49	79.03%

**9. Rural Zone**

9.1 Rural buffer zone	319	83.95%	71	84.52%	83	80.58%	105	86.78%	51	82.26%
9.2 Proposed zoning not reflecting existing use	306	80.53%	76	90.48%	80	77.67%	98	80.99%	43	69.35%
Lifestyle in Rural Production Zone	205	53.95%	55	65.48%	50	48.54%	62	51.24%	34	54.84%
Lifestyle in Mixed Rural Zone	263	69.21%	69	82.14%	65	63.11%	82	67.77%	41	66.13%
Lifestyle subdivision less than 2ha in CSL zone	236	62.11%	57	67.86%	61	59.22%	75	61.98%	39	62.90%
9.3 Retain 2 ha minimum in CSL / LL Zones	150	39.47%	30	35.71%	43	41.75%	46	38.02%	24	38.71%
1 ha lots	159	41.84%	40	47.62%	35	33.98%	56	46.28%	24	38.71%
Large lots serviced	109	28.68%	31	36.90%	22	21.36%	39	32.23%	17	27.42%
Large lots - un-serviced	118	31.05%	29	34.52%	28	27.18%	46	38.02%	14	22.58%
Other	15	3.95%	4	4.76%	3	2.91%	6	4.96%	1	1.61%
9.4 Minimum size for un-serviced site in CSL / LL sites										
3,000 sq m - 3/4 acre	73	19.21%	11	13.10%	15	14.56%	29	23.97%	16	25.81%
4,000 sq m - 1 acre	125	32.89%	35	41.67%	35	33.98%	37	30.58%	18	29.03%
5,000 sq m - 1.25 acres	23	6.05%	2	2.38%	7	6.80%	10	8.26%	3	4.84%
6,000 sq m - 1.5 acres	134	35.26%	29	34.52%	45	43.69%	37	30.58%	16	25.81%

**Huapai, Kumeu, Riverhead Taupaki  
Questionnaire Response Comparative Summary**

**10 Commercial / Industrial**

Existing Kumeu-Huapai capable of servicing future growth?	101	26.58%	26	30.95%	27	26.21%	26	21.49%	19	30.65%
Centre around existing Kumeu-Huapai commercial areas?	300	78.95%	66	78.57%	79	76.70%	103	85.12%	42	67.74%
Will commercial centre function if SH16 made into 4 lanes?	196	51.58%	45	53.57%	49	47.57%	62	51.24%	36	58.06%
Do you think we need a park & ride facility in Kumeu/Huapai?	346	91.05%	72	85.71%	98	95.15%	112	92.56%	54	87.10%
Staff parking be provided away from the commercial area?	313	82.37%	64	76.19%	89	86.41%	98	80.99%	53	85.48%
Commercial buildings to a height of 3 stories appropriate?	203	53.42%	49	58.33%	52	50.49%	58	47.93%	38	61.29%
Is there a need for more industrial land in the area?	172	45.26%	43	51.19%	40	38.83%	52	42.98%	34	54.84%
High intensity traffic lanes with mixed traffic/pedestrian use?	286	75.26%	62	73.81%	78	75.73%	87	71.90%	51	82.26%

**11 Infrastructure**

New housing w/o adequate arterial / main road access?	30	7.89%	8	9.52%	10	9.71%	5	4.13%	6	9.68%
4 lanes thru' Kumeu Village - No impact?	27	7.11%	9	10.71%	5	4.85%	7	5.79%	5	8.06%
4 lanes thru' Kumeu Village - Little impact?	105	27.63%	21	25.00%	24	23.30%	34	28.10%	23	37.10%
4 lanes thru' Kumeu Village - Significant impact?	242	63.68%	52	61.90%	74	71.84%	78	64.46%	33	53.23%
11.3 Alternative access around Kumeu-Huapai?	341	89.74%	77	91.67%	91	88.35%	111	91.74%	52	83.87%
North Side	98	25.79%	31	36.90%	36	34.95%	19	15.70%	10	16.13%
South Side	101	26.58%	15	17.86%	17	16.50%	49	40.50%	16	25.81%
North & South sides	124	32.63%	27	32.14%	34	33.01%	38	31.40%	22	35.48%
11.5 Is internet access satisfactory in your area?	183	48.16%	24	28.57%	45	43.69%	70	57.85%	38	61.29%

**12 Amenities**

Halls	158	41.58%	33	39.29%	42	21.36%	55	0.00%	25	4.84%
Active reserves (sports fields)	244	64.21%	58	69.05%	70	67.96%	77	63.64%	33	53.23%
Primary school	284	74.74%	60	71.43%	75	72.82%	99	81.82%	44	70.97%
Secondary school	339	89.21%	71	84.52%	92	89.32%	110	90.91%	57	91.94%
Cemetery extension	261	68.68%	52	61.90%	76	73.79%	89	73.55%	41	66.13%
Community centre / sports centre	258	67.89%	54	64.29%	71	68.93%	88	72.73%	38	61.29%
Arts / performing arts centre	175	46.05%	39	46.43%	52	50.49%	53	43.80%	26	41.94%
Swimming pool	257	67.63%	40	47.62%	77	74.76%	92	76.03%	43	69.35%
Other	45	11.84%	9	10.71%	8	7.77%	19	15.70%	8	12.90%

**Huapai, Kumeu, Riverhead Taupaki  
Questionnaire Response Comparative Summary**

**13 Transport**

Train to Auckland	256	67.37%	53	63.10%	73	70.87%	82	67.77%	39	62.90%
Bus to Auckland	161	42.37%	27	32.14%	41	39.81%	59	48.76%	29	46.77%
Bus to North Shore	129	33.95%	20	23.81%	29	28.16%	47	38.84%	29	46.77%
Train/Shuttle to Swanson	101	26.58%	26	30.95%	35	33.98%	25	20.66%	13	20.97%
Car pooling	47	12.37%	6	7.14%	16	15.53%	13	10.74%	9	14.52%
None of the above	61	16.05%	17	20.24%	19	18.45%	12	9.92%	13	20.97%